	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/584,649	GANDOLPH ET AL.
	Examiner	**Art Unit**	
	SOUMYA DASGUPTA	2176	

All Participants:

(1) *SOUMYA DASGUPTA*.

(2) *Reitseng Lin (Reg. No. 42,804)*.

Status of Application: *Examiner's Amendment*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *4 February 2011*   **Time:** *1 pm*

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☐ No
   If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
35 USC 101; 35 USC 103

Claims discussed:
1, 3-7, 13-15, 17, 19, and 21-28

Prior art documents discussed:
US 2002/0041292; US 2004/0012382; US 2003/0227474

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Soumya Dasgupta/
Examiner, Art Unit 2176

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant and the examiner discussed the merits of the Claims and the Specification with respect to the cited prior art. The examiner requested that the applicant elaborate and disclose the inventive concept of the application and how it corresponds to the claim language. The claims, as currently proposed (see Examiner's Amendment), is in condition for allowance. Claims 1 and 13 were amended to recite "non-transitory storage media" to obviate the 35 USC 101 Rejection. The claims were also amended to address issues with respect to antecedent basis...